

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/501,760		HAMBITZER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Jonathan Crepeau		1795	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jonathan Crepeau. (3) Christiane Ripp.

(2) Ryan Wallace. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 29 September 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: inert carrier material samples

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 15


Identification of prior art discussed: Hambitzer '789

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Outstanding rejection over '789 patent was discussed. In particular, the function of the carrier material in the '789 patent vs. the carrier material in the present application was discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

 1795